

ESC/Java 2

Extended Static Checking for Java

Joe Kiniry

University of Nijmegen

What is Extended Static Checking?

Take a nicely-constructed program that (perhaps) has a number of well-thought out assertions scattered throughout.

What is Extended Static Checking?

Take a nicely-constructed program that (perhaps) has a number of well-thought out assertions scattered throughout. Now, imagine that you had a tool capable of:

What is Extended Static Checking?

Take a nicely-constructed program that (perhaps) has a number of well-thought out assertions scattered throughout. Now, imagine that you had a tool capable of:

- automatically *checking* that nearly all the assertions in the program are *always true*

What is Extended Static Checking?

Take a nicely-constructed program that (perhaps) has a number of well-thought out assertions scattered throughout. Now, imagine that you had a tool capable of:

- automatically *checking* that nearly all the assertions in the program are *always true*
- performing this analysis *statically*. That is, it works just like your compiler does and runs *without any user or test input whatsoever*.

What is Extended Static Checking?

Take a nicely-constructed program that (perhaps) has a number of well-thought out assertions scattered throughout. Now, imagine that you had a tool capable of:

- automatically *checking* that nearly all the assertions in the program are *always true*
- performing this analysis *statically*. That is, it works just like your compiler does and runs *without any user or test input whatsoever*.
- reasoning about *non-trivial properties* of the system beyond, e.g., whether the code type-checks or not. In other words, an *extended* (beyond type-correctness) set of properties are checked.

What is Extended Static Checking?

Take a nicely-constructed program that (perhaps) has a number of well-thought out assertions scattered throughout. Now, imagine that you had a tool capable of:

- automatically *checking* that nearly all the assertions in the program are *always true*
- performing this analysis *statically*. That is, it works just like your compiler does and runs *without any user or test input whatsoever*.
- reasoning about *non-trivial properties* of the system beyond, e.g., whether the code type-checks or not. In other words, an *extended* (beyond type-correctness) set of properties are checked.

Such a tool is called an *extended static checker*.

What is Extended Static Checking?

Three main **Extended Static Checkers** have been developed.

What is Extended Static Checking?

Three main **Extended Static Checkers** have been developed.

- The original research and tool were accomplished by **Rustan Leino et. al** at the **DEC SRC**. The two tools they developed were **ESC/Modula-III** and **SRC ESC/Java** (version 1).

What is Extended Static Checking?

Three main **Extended Static Checkers** have been developed.

- The original research and tool were accomplished by **Rustan Leino et. al** at the **DEC SRC**. The two tools they developed were **ESC/Modula-III** and **SRC ESC/Java** (version 1).
- ESC/Java originally used its own, JML-like annotation language.

What is Extended Static Checking?

Three main **Extended Static Checkers** have been developed.

- The original research and tool were accomplished by **Rustan Leino et. al** at the **DEC SRC**. The two tools they developed were **ESC/Modula-III** and **SRC ESC/Java** (version 1).
- ESC/Java originally used its own, JML-like annotation language.
- Last year, H.P. decided to **Open Source** SRC ESC/Java, so **ESC/Java2** was born.

What is Extended Static Checking?

Three main **Extended Static Checkers** have been developed.

- The original research and tool were accomplished by **Rustan Leino et. al** at the **DEC SRC**. The two tools they developed were **ESC/Modula-III** and **SRC ESC/Java** (version 1).
- ESC/Java originally used its own, JML-like annotation language.
- Last year, H.P. decided to **Open Source** SRC ESC/Java, so **ESC/Java2** was born.
- **ESC/Java2** is the work of **David Cok** and **Joe Kiniry** (that's me!).

What is Extended Static Checking?

Three main **Extended Static Checkers** have been developed.

- The original research and tool were accomplished by **Rustan Leino et. al** at the **DEC SRC**. The two tools they developed were **ESC/Modula-III** and **SRC ESC/Java** (version 1).
- ESC/Java originally used its own, JML-like annotation language.
- Last year, H.P. decided to **Open Source** SRC ESC/Java, so **ESC/Java2** was born.
- **ESC/Java2** is the work of **David Cok** and **Joe Kiniry** (that's me!).
- ESC/Java2 is SRC **ESC/Java++**. It understands **all of JML**, checks **more properties**, it runs on **more platforms**, and is **more robust**.

ESC/Java

- In general, an **Extended Static Checker** **tries to prove the correctness of specifications, at compile-time, fully automatically**, but . . .

ESC/Java

- In general, an Extended Static Checker **tries to prove the correctness of specifications, at compile-time, fully automatically**, but . . .
- ESC/Java is ***not sound*** and is ***not complete***. That is, it can sometimes **reject a correct spec**, and at other times **accept an incorrect spec**.

ESC/Java

- In general, an Extended Static Checker **tries to prove the correctness of specifications, at compile-time, fully automatically**, but . . .
- ESC/Java is ***not sound*** and is ***not complete***. That is, it can sometimes **reject a correct spec**, and at other times **accept an incorrect spec**.
- However, an ESC/Java finds ***lots*** of (potential) bugs ***quickly***, and

ESC/Java

- In general, an Extended Static Checker **tries to prove the correctness of specifications, at compile-time, fully automatically**, but . . .
- ESC/Java is ***not sound*** and is ***not complete***. That is, it can sometimes **reject a correct spec**, and at other times **accept an incorrect spec**.
- However, an ESC/Java finds ***lots*** of (potential) bugs ***quickly***, and
- ESC/Java is good at **proving the absence of runtime exceptions** like (e.g., NullPointerException-, ArrayIndexOutOfBounds-, ClassCast-), and

ESC/Java

- In general, an Extended Static Checker **tries to prove the correctness of specifications, at compile-time, fully automatically**, but . . .
- ESC/Java is ***not sound*** and is ***not complete***. That is, it can sometimes **reject a correct spec**, and at other times **accept an incorrect spec**.
- However, an ESC/Java finds ***lots*** of (potential) bugs ***quickly***, and
- ESC/Java is good at **proving the absence of runtime exceptions** like (e.g., NullPointerException-, ArrayIndexOutOfBounds-, ClassCast-), and
- ESC/Java can verify a **large class of fairly complex system properties**.

ESC/Java vs Runtime Checking

There are important differences:

- ESC/Java checks specs at **compile-time**, while `jm1c` checks specs at **run-time**

ESC/Java vs Runtime Checking

There are important differences:

- ESC/Java checks specs at **compile-time**, while `jmlc` checks specs at **run-time**
- ESC/Java **proves** correctness of specs, `jmlc`, `jmlrac`, and `jmlunit` only **test** correctness of specs.

ESC/Java vs Runtime Checking

There are important differences:

- ESC/Java checks specs at **compile-time**, while `jmlc` checks specs at **run-time**
- ESC/Java **proves** correctness of specs, `jmlc`, `jmlrac`, and `jmlunit` only **test** correctness of specs.
- Hence, ESC/Java is **independent of any test suite**, while the results of runtime testing are **only as good as the test suite**.

ESC/Java vs Runtime Checking

There are important differences:

- ESC/Java checks specs at **compile-time**, while `jmlc` checks specs at **run-time**
- ESC/Java **proves** correctness of specs, `jmlc`, `jmlrac`, and `jmlunit` only **test** correctness of specs.
- Hence, ESC/Java is **independent of any test suite**, while the results of runtime testing are **only as good as the test suite**.
- “Testing can show the **presence** of errors, but not their **absence**.”—E. W. Dijkstra

ESC/Java vs Runtime Checking

There are important differences:

- ESC/Java checks specs at **compile-time**, while `jmlc` checks specs at **run-time**
- ESC/Java **proves** correctness of specs, `jmlc`, `jmlrac`, and `jmlunit` only **test** correctness of specs.
- Hence, ESC/Java is **independent of any test suite**, while the results of runtime testing are **only as good as the test suite**.
- “Testing can show the **presence** of errors, but not their **absence**.”—E. W. Dijkstra
- As a result, ESC/Java provides a **much higher degree of confidence than unit testing**.

The Hierarchy of Confidence

In general, the more you **think** about your software, and the more you **write down and use** your thoughts, the **higher the quality** of the software.

- “hacked out” code with no documentation

The Hierarchy of Confidence

In general, the more you **think** about your software, and the more you **write down and use** your thoughts, the **higher the quality** of the software.

- “hacked out” code with no documentation
- + **some (English) documentation**

The Hierarchy of Confidence

In general, the more you **think** about your software, and the more you **write down and use** your thoughts, the **higher the quality** of the software.

- “hacked out” code with no documentation
- + **some (English) documentation**
- + **some unit tests**

The Hierarchy of Confidence

In general, the more you **think** about your software, and the more you **write down and use** your thoughts, the **higher the quality** of the software.

- “hacked out” code with no documentation
- + **some (English) documentation**
- + **some unit tests**
- + **complete unit tests**

The Hierarchy of Confidence

In general, the more you **think** about your software, and the more you **write down and use** your thoughts, the **higher the quality** of the software.

- “hacked out” code with no documentation
- + **some (English) documentation**
- + **some unit tests**
- + **complete unit tests**
- + **manually written assertions**

The Hierarchy of Confidence

In general, the more you **think** about your software, and the more you **write down and use** your thoughts, the **higher the quality** of the software.

- “hacked out” code with no documentation
- + **some (English) documentation**
- + **some unit tests**
- + **complete unit tests**
- + **manually written assertions**
- + **lightweight JML contracts + `jmlrac`**

The Hierarchy of Confidence

In general, the more you **think** about your software, and the more you **write down and use** your thoughts, the **higher the quality** of the software.

- “hacked out” code with no documentation
- + **some (English) documentation**
- + **some unit tests**
- + **complete unit tests**
- + **manually written assertions**
- + **lightweight JML contracts + `jmlrac`**
- + **heavyweight JML contracts + `jmlunit`**

The Hierarchy of Confidence

In general, the more you **think** about your software, and the more you **write down and use** your thoughts, the **higher the quality** of the software.

- “hacked out” code with no documentation
- + **some (English) documentation**
- + **some unit tests**
- + **complete unit tests**
- + **manually written assertions**
- + **lightweight JML contracts + `jmlrac`**
- + **heavyweight JML contracts + `jmlunit`**
- + **JML models**

The Hierarchy of Confidence

In general, the more you **think** about your software, and the more you **write down and use** your thoughts, the **higher the quality** of the software.

- “hacked out” code with no documentation
- + **some (English) documentation**
- + **some unit tests**
- + **complete unit tests**
- + **manually written assertions**
- + **lightweight JML contracts + `jmlrac`**
- + **heavyweight JML contracts + `jmlunit`**
- + **JML models**
- + **ESC/Java**

The Hierarchy of Confidence

In general, the more you **think** about your software, and the more you **write down and use** your thoughts, the **higher the quality** of the software.

- “hacked out” code with no documentation
- + **some (English) documentation**
- + **some unit tests**
- + **complete unit tests**
- + **manually written assertions**
- + **lightweight JML contracts + jmlrac**
- + **heavyweight JML contracts + jmlunit**
- + **JML models**
- + **ESC/Java**
- + **interactive verification**

(Spec|Ver)ification Trade-offs

- When using `jmlrac` and `jmlunit` you specify **any** properties you like.

(Spec|Ver)ification Trade-offs

- When using `jmlrac` and `jmlunit` you specify **any properties you like**.
- But when using ESC/Java, you are **forced** to specify some properties.

(Spec|Ver)ification Trade-offs

- When using `jmlrac` and `jmlunit` you specify **any properties you like**.
- But when using ESC/Java, you are **forced** to specify some properties.
- If you **already** understand the code, then these properties are usually **obvious**.

But for larger programs, this is often not the case!

(Spec|Ver)ification Trade-offs

- When using `jmlrac` and `jmlunit` you specify **any properties you like**.
- But when using ESC/Java, you are **forced** to specify some properties.
- If you **already** understand the code, then these properties are usually **obvious**.

But for larger programs, this is often not the case!

- Once you have these properties **documented**, then **understanding** the code is easier for you, and for others.

Limitations of ESC/Java

ESC/Java is

- **not sound**: it may **reject a correct spec**

Limitations of ESC/Java

ESC/Java is

- **not sound**: it may **reject a correct spec**
- **not complete**: it may **accept an incorrect spec**

Limitations of ESC/Java

ESC/Java is

- **not sound**: it may **reject a correct spec**
- **not complete**: it may **accept an incorrect spec**
- These are unavoidable concessions to main goal, that of **finding many (potential) bugs, completely automatically.**

Limitations of ESC/Java

ESC/Java is

- **not sound**: it may **reject a correct spec**
- **not complete**: it may **accept an incorrect spec**
- These are unavoidable concessions to main goal, that of **finding many (potential) bugs, completely automatically**.
- In practice, neither issue is much of a problem.

Limitations of ESC/Java

ESC/Java is

- **not sound**: it may **reject a correct spec**
- **not complete**: it may **accept an incorrect spec**
- These are unavoidable concessions to main goal, that of **finding many (potential) bugs, completely automatically**.
- In practice, neither issue is much of a problem.
- ESC/Java2 only supports statically checking a **subset of full JML**, but you will likely never use or learn (in this course) any of JML that ESC/Java2 does not check.

Places where ESC/Java is not Sound

Tricky things to watch out for include:

Places where ESC/Java is not Sound

Tricky things to watch out for include:

- **very complex, interdependent invariants**

Places where ESC/Java is not Sound

Tricky things to watch out for include:

- **very complex, interdependent invariants**
- **misuse of pragmas like `assume`, `axiom`, and `nowarn`**

Places where ESC/Java is not Sound

Tricky things to watch out for include:

- **very complex, interdependent invariants**
- **misuse of pragmas like `assume`, `axiom`, and `nowarn`**
- **verification of `loops`**

Places where ESC/Java is not Sound

Tricky things to watch out for include:

- **very complex, interdependent invariants**
- **misuse of pragmas like `assume`, `axiom`, and `nowarn`**
- **verification of `loops`**
- **complex arithmetic with large numbers**

Places where ESC/Java is not Sound

Tricky things to watch out for include:

- **very complex, interdependent invariants**
- **misuse of pragmas like `assume`, `axiom`, and `nowarn`**
- **verification of `loops`**
- **complex arithmetic with large numbers**
- **ignored exceptional conditions**

Places where ESC/Java is not Sound

Tricky things to watch out for include:

- **very complex, interdependent invariants**
- **misuse of pragmas** like `assume`, `axiom`, and `nowarn`
- **verification of loops**
- **complex arithmetic with large numbers**
- **ignored exceptional conditions**
- **aliasing** and shared variables

Using ESC/Java for Java Card

- ESC/Java can cope with **Java Card-sized** programs.

Using ESC/Java for Java Card

- ESC/Java can cope with **Java Card-sized** programs.
- First step: **verify lightweight, weak** (but far from trivial!) specs

```
/*@ requires apdu != null;
    ensures true;
    signals (APDUException) true;
    signals (ISOException) true;
  @*/
public void process(APDU apdu) { ..
```

That is, focus on “**non-nullness**” and **exceptions**.

Using ESC/Java for Java Card

- ESC/Java can cope with **Java Card-sized** programs.
- First step: **verify lightweight, weak** (but far from trivial!) specs

```
/*@ requires apdu != null;
    ensures true;
    signals (APDUException) true;
    signals (ISOException) true;
  @*/
public void process(APDU apdu) { ..
```

That is, focus on “**non-nullness**” and **exceptions**.

- Next, “upgrade” lightweight contracts to **heavyweight contracts**, focusing on **preconditions** and **assignable clauses**.

Using ESC/Java for Java Card

- ESC/Java can cope with **Java Card-sized** programs.
- First step: **verify lightweight, weak** (but far from trivial!) specs

```
/*@ requires apdu != null;
    ensures true;
    signals (APDUException) true;
    signals (ISOException) true;
   @*/
public void process(APDU apdu) { ..
```

That is, focus on “**non-nullness**” and **exceptions**.

- Next, “upgrade” lightweight contracts to **heavyweight contracts**, focusing on **preconditions** and **assignable clauses**.
- Next, add **interesting invariants**.

Using ESC/Java for Java Card

- ESC/Java can cope with **Java Card-sized** programs.
- First step: **verify lightweight, weak** (but far from trivial!) specs

```
/*@ requires apdu != null;
    ensures true;
    signals (APDUException) true;
    signals (ISOException) true;
  @*/
public void process(APDU apdu) { ..
```

That is, focus on “**non-nullness**” and **exceptions**.

- Next, “upgrade” lightweight contracts to **heavyweight contracts**, focusing on **preconditions** and **assignable clauses**.
- Next, add **interesting invariants**.
- Finally, focus on **interesting postconditions**.